

# **Project Design Document**

Name of project:	MASH Makes SPV 01
Name of quality manager:	Srikanth Vishwanath
Date of issue:	13.02.2025
Version of the PDD:	Version 4.0
Methodology:	Global Biochar C-Sink 3.0



Project location:	Udupi, Karnataka, India	
Project start date:	01.06.2023	
Project period:	The project has no end date, but it is verified on an annual basis	
Project summary:	MASH Makes SPV 01 uses waste agricultural residues to produce high-quality biochar along with other value-added energy products like bio-oil. The project works closely with the local community to ensure that the biochar produced has the potential to make the largest socio-economic impact on the environment.	
	The project will increase carbon sequestration by working the produced biochar into different matrixes and in this way create a long-term carbon storage with a persistence of up to 1000 years as according to the Global Biochar C-Sink Standard. Without the project, no C-sink would be created since <i>waste cashew shell residues</i> does not constitute a long-term carbon reservoir.	
	In the initial 5 years of the project we expect carbon sequestration of approximately 74,190 tons CO2eq in total or 16,196 tons CO2eq / year.	



### Table of content

Pro	oject D	Design Document	1
1.	Purp	pose and general description of project	4
-	1.1.	Project location	4
-	1.2.	Description of baseline scenario	5
-	1.3.	Biochar carbon sinks	5
-	1.4.	Project Boundary	6
-	1.5.	Eligibility	6
-	1.6.	Ownership	7
-	1.7.	Additionality	7
: t	1.7.1. :echno	Assessment of regulatory requirements for biochar production and application as a remo ology	val 7
-	1.7.2.	Additional Carbon Removal	7
-	1.7.3.	Biomass Feedstock Additionality	7
2.	Ex-a	ante estimate of impact	7
3.	Tech	hnology and business cases	8
3	3.1.	Production unit	8
3	3.2.	Feedstock	10
3	3.3.	Leakage by activity shifts outside the project boundaries	11
3	3.4.	Distribution channels of biochar	12
3	3.5.	Planned business development	12
4.	Dete	ermination of C-sink potential	12
4	4.1.	Monitoring plan	12
	4.1.1	1. General data	13
	4.1.2	2. Emissions from fossil fuels	13
	4.1.3	3. Methane emissions	17
	4.1.4	4. Energy flows	19
2	4.2.	Calculation of C-sink potential at factory gate	20
	4.2.2	1. Emissions from fossil fuels	20
	4.2.1	1.5. Leakage emissions	23
	4.2.2	2. Methane emissions	24
	4.2.3	3. Value of C-sink potential	26
	4.2.4	4. Energy efficiency	26



	4.2.5. Carbon efficiency		
5.	Determin	ation of C-sink	. 27
5.1.	Biocha	ar processing	. 28
	5.1.1.	Monitoring of processing parameters	. 28
	5.1.2.	Calculation of processing emissions	. 28
5.2.	Regist	ration of C-sink	. 29
	5.2.1.	Monitoring of transport parameters until final location	. 29
	5.2.2.	Calculation of C -sink	. 30
	5.2.3.	Geological C-sink	. 30
	5.2.4.	Temporary C-sink	. 31
	5.2.5.	Temporary Storage of Biochar	. 31
6.	Public co	nsultation	. 32
7.	Annexes		. 32



### 1. Purpose and general description of project

The project *MASH Makes SPV 01* compromises of 4 pyrolysis reactors for biochar production from cashew shell press cake. Biochar is a versatile material with an increasing number of applications in agriculture, environmental engineering, and basic industry. Biochar applied a matrix permitted by the Global C-Sink Standard poses a stable carbon sink (C-sink). Without the project, no C-sink would be created since cashew shell press cake does not constitute a long-term carbon reservoir.

The most likely counterfactual scenario that would exist without the project is the present state of events. Currently, the feedstock - cashew shell press cake - is transported over long distances to extremely polluting facilities like brick kilns & ceramic tile factories, where it is burnt in uncontrolled environments. In the absence of the project, this would continue to be the case. These events would lead to much higher emissions than the project scenario, where the feedstock is being transported over a short distance of less than 2 kilometers for the purpose of biochar production.

Another objective of the project is to improve the soil quality in India by marketing biochar as soil amendment. Biochar can improve soil quality significantly because of its impact on the soil pH, its water retention capacity, and its ability to store nutrients.

Furthermore, the biochar may be used as temporary C-sink or as additive in construction materials or consumer products.

#### 1.1. Project location

The project is located at Survey no 118/1, 38 Kaltharu village, Post Brahmavara, Taluka, Santhekatte, Karnataka 576215, India.

The GPS locations are: 13.463201779951344, 74.93263420169096.

The geographical locations of the subsequently installed plants will be documented in the biochar tool and Carbonfuture's MRV+ platform.

The biochar is currently being sold to NGOs and farmers in India.





#### 1.2. Description of baseline scenario

The most likely counterfactual scenario that would exist without the project is the present state of events. Currently, the feedstock - cashew shell press cake - is transported over long distances to extremely polluting facilities like brick kilns & ceramic tile factories, where it is burnt in uncontrolled environments. In the absence of the project, this would continue to be the case. These events would lead to much higher emissions than the project scenario, where the feedstock is being transported over a short distance of less than 2 kilometers for the purpose of biochar production.

The baseline scenario for carbon removal accounting is the "business as usual", in which no permanent biochar-based carbon sink is generated and is considered as zero. The fact that biomass could have been used differently in the baseline scenario, has no impact on the consideration of the baseline as zero. This is ensured by following the regulations of chapter 2 of the EBC C-sink Standard.

C - sink (Baseline) = 0 tCO2e

#### **1.3.** Biochar carbon sinks

When plant biomass is burnt or decomposed, the assimilated carbon is released again in the form of CO2. However, if the plant biomass is pyrolyzed, about half of the plant carbon is transformed into a mixture of predominantly very persistent carbon compounds that form a solid material known as biochar. While in the environment, any carbon compound is subject to degradation; for most components of biochar, this process is extremely slow, and mostly even so slow, that it is hard to measure for thousands of years. Provided that the biochar is not burned, the biochar carbon remains as a C-sink in the terrestrial system.

If biochar with an H to Corg ratio < 0.40 is applied to soil, a major part of its carbon is considered Persistent Aromatic Carbon (PAC, the portion of biochar carbon bound in clusters of more than seven aromatic rings as analyzed by the hydro pyrolysis method) and will constitute a carbon sink for several



millennia. A minor though relevant part of the biochar-carbon is less persistent (semi persistent carbon, SPC) and likely to be microbially degraded within decades to centuries, presenting a mean residence time of 50 years. The biochar carbon that may be decomposed within the first 1000 years after the application to soil is called Semi-Persistent Carbon (SPC) and constitutes a temporary C- sink. For biochars presenting an H to Corg ratio < 0.4, the PAC fraction is conservatively fixed by the standard at 75% and the SPC fraction at 25%.

#### 1.4. Project Boundary

For the determination of the emission portfolios relevant for the C-sink generation according to Global Biochar C-Sink standard, the emissions from Scope 1 and 2 of each involved and registered organization (producers and processors) are recorded.

All scope 1 and scope 2 emissions from the biochar producing company are fully recorded and attributed to the biochar production.

If not otherwise specified by the processors in their annexes to the PDD they account for all emissions from Scope 1 and 2. If a processor is using the pro-rata approach (Global Biochar C-Sink standard, chapter 4.5), they are obliged to provide details in their annexes to the PDD.

For Scope 3 emissions of involved organizations, only the emissions from biomass production transport of biomass or biochar and derived products are directly quantified. Other indirect emissions from Scope 3 are not recorded individually due to their comparatively low volume but are instead included in the calculation with a flat margin of safety to account for the whole value chain.

Organizations are required to include the emissions upstream to the next organization in their emissions portfolio. The last organization in the chain before the C-sink is established and registered is also responsible for reporting transport emissions downstream in their emission portfolio.



#### 1.5. Eligibility

☑ Production of biochar according to EBC criteria in place.

☑ Producer is a legal entity and hold an operating license for the entire project region.

Social Impact: The project complies with the requirements set by the methodology, see annex 17-0-2EN Self-Assessment Social Responsibility.



☑ The C-sinks issued in this project are not claimed in any other Carbon Crediting Scheme.

#### 1.6. Ownership

SPV One Energy Products retains C-Sink ownership, while physical biochar is sold. The verification of the realized C-Sink is done by Carbonfuture.

Every packaging unit containing more than 1 t CO<sub>2</sub>e of biochar is labeled with a scannable identification code revealing the current owner of the C-sink material.

Since product is traded without its climate effect represented by the C-sink value it is labeled informing the buyer that the C-sink of the product is already registered and cannot be claimed for other emission compensations. This reference is made by printing the following Carbon Standards registered seal: "Registered C-Sink" and a QR-Code with the web link to more detailed information about the C-sink registration and use. This applies especially to diffuse C-sinks and biochar applied to soil.

The C-sink value is therefore the property of the owner of the material, unless it is clearly stated on the receipts that the C-sink was not sold with it.

#### 1.7. Additionality

The required additionality test consists of 3 steps. The project is deemed additional if it leads to additional carbon removal.

# **1.7.1.** Assessment of regulatory requirements for biochar production and application as a removal technology

There are no legally binding requirements for the production and carbon preserving application of biochar in India. There are no laws by the Government of India requiring the production of biochar for carbon removal. The project has all the relevant permits for operating the production facility and it meets the requirements of the local government.

#### 1.7.2. Additional Carbon Removal

The C-sink efficiency of a pyrolysis facility is a measure of the part of biomass-carbon that is preserved by a technical transformation process as a potential C-sink. According to chapter 4.2.5 of the PDD the producer commits to publish the C-sink efficiency of the production facility annually. This makes the clear objective of transforming a growing proportion of biomass carbon into carbon sinks transparent.

#### 1.7.3. Biomass Feedstock Additionality

Currently, the feedstock - cashew shell press cake is a second-generation waste from the cashew value chain. It is the waste from the processing of cashew nut shells, which are already considered as a waste from cashew nut production. Further, this feedstock is transported over long distances to extremely polluting facilities like brick kilns & ceramic tile factories, where it is burnt in uncontrolled environments. In the absence of the project, this would continue to be the case. These events would lead to much higher emissions than the project scenario, where the feedstock is being transported over a short distance of less than 2 kilometers for the purpose of biochar production.



The feedstock meets the feedstock sourcing criteria in the EBC C-sink standard as it is an organic residue from food processing. It is considered a C-neutral input material because the CO2 footprint of food production has to be credited to the production of primary products. There are no other known uses of this biomass that can lead to a natural C-sink.

### 2. Ex-ante estimate of impact

The estimations are based on the dry matter amounts of biomass and the resulting biochar. The C-sink potential is calculated as the expected amounts of biochar multiplied by the expected carbon content.

The established temporary C-sinks are estimated on basis of the sum of the SPC fraction (25%) of the biochar used for soil application and the amount of biochar used materials (or the lifetime of the products where the biochar is applied to (e.g. cement/concrete in buildings or consumer products).

The established permanent C-sinks are estimated as based on the PAC fraction of the biochar (75%), when the biochar is applied to soils and has an H/C ratio below 0.4.

The ex-ante estimate is based on the following values:

Yield factor (feedstock to biochar): 0.29 t biochar (DM)/t feedstock (DM)

Ccontent of biochar: 86.2% (based on preliminary analysis)

Security margin: 2%

Year of	Amount of	Amount of	Margin of	Established	Established
operation	feedstock (t	biochar (t	Security (t	temporary C-	permanent C-
	DM)	DM)	CO <sub>2</sub> eq)	sinks (tCO₂eq)	sinks (tCO <sub>2</sub> eq)
1	13800	4002	80	3162	9407
2	23760	6890	138	5445	16196
3	23760	6890	138	5445	16196
4	23760	6890	138	5445	16196
5	23760	6890	138	5445	16196
sum	108840	31564		24942	74190

### 3. Technology and business cases

#### 3.1. Production unit

**Biochar is produced via pyrolysis technology.** Pyrolysis means the thermo-chemical decomposition of the feedstock under the exclusion of oxygen.

By converting sustainable biomass into biochar by pyrolysis, a long-term carbon reservoir is created. At the factory gate of the production unit the biochar poses a potential of C-sink (C-sink potential). It could still be burned. By safety measures, such as marketing and labeling the biochar with the aim of becoming a C-sink and monitoring all distribution channels in a digital Measurement, Reporting and Verification tool (dMRV), it is ensured in the best possible way, that the biochar is used to form a C-sink. C-sink certificates are only issued for those parts of the PC for which it can be proven that they have been put in a matrix.



Without the project, no C-sink would be created, as non-pyrolytic biomass does not ensure persistent carbon storage.

The produced biochar is certified under the EBC Biochar Certification standard, what guarantees that the biomass feedstock is sustainably procured and produced, biochar fulfils the analytical threshold values so no damage is caused to the environment, emissions limits of the pyrolysis unit are adhered to and storage procedures are environmentally sound.

The biochar production follows the EBC Biochar Certification standard, which ensures:

- Compliance with laws regarding air pollution control
- Minimization of risks on human health, social and environmental impacts
- Energy and carbon efficiency
- Sustainable origin of the feedstock

Type of pyrolysis unit: Intermediate rate pyrolysis unit

Planned operating hours per year: 7920

Planned feedstock consumption: 25000 tons

Nominal biochar production: 7000 tons

Concept for waste energy recovery

Currently, approximately 30% of the pyro-gas produced is being utilized in the burner to heat the pyrolysis reactor. The plan is to utilize the remaining 70% pyro-gas in a gas-powered engine for power generation. The generated power will be further used to take the pyrolysis reactor off the grid. Currently, MASH's design team is working on developing a suitable gas-powered engine setup. This is expected to be operational in 2025.





#### 3.2. Feedstock

All used feedstock corresponds to the EBC positive list.

Only C-neutral biomass input materials are permitted for the production of biochar C-sinks. Biochar produced from biomass whose harvesting resulted in the destruction or depletion of a natural C-sink (e.g., clear-cutting of a forest) or has contributed to the disappearance of an existing sink (e.g., inappropriate agricultural practices on bog soil) does not render any positive climate service and must not be used for C-sink-potential certification.

However, it must be ensured that the removal of harvest residues does not decrease soil organic carbon stocks.

In the project the following feedstock is used which is eligible with the sustainability criteria:

Cashew shell press cake

Origin of feedstock:

Cashew shell press cake is the waste from the processing of cashew nut shells to produce cashew nut shell liquid. The historically observed baseline for the feedstock use is that it is sold as a solid fuel for traditional small-scale kilns producing clay bricks. Without the project activity, the historical baseline would likely continue.

The feedstock mentioned above corresponds to the general feedstock classes:

□ (1) Biomass from annual cropping

 $\square$  (2) Biomass from pluriannual and perennial cropping including short rotation

plantations

□ (3) Forest biomass



□ (4) Wood from landscape conservation, agro-forestry, forest gardens, field margins,

and urban areas

- $\square$  (5) Wood processing waste and waste wood materials
- ☑ (6) Organic residues from biomass processing
- $\Box$  (7) Municipal waste and municipal waste digestate
- $\Box$  (8) Manure and agricultural digestate
- $\Box$  (9) Biosolids and biosolid digestate
- $\Box$  (10) Other biogenic residues

To avoid methane emissions during storage of biomass the following principles should be followed:

- Wood and other biomass should be chipped only a few days and at a maximum of four weeks before pyrolysis. Log storage is considered unproblematic regarding methane emissions; coarse wood (thinner logs, branches, cuttings, etc.) should be stored as airy as possible and not mixed with green waste.
- If just-in-time chipping is not possible, the wood chips or biomass should be dried as soon as possible, e.g., with the excess heat from pyrolysis and stored dry with a maximum of 20% residual moisture. If the biomass is sufficiently dry, biodegradation does not take place or is slowed down considerably.
- Alternatively, the wood chips or the biomasses can be stored in small, well-ventilated containers such as lattice boxes (max. 2 m3). Due to sufficient ventilation, anaerobic degradation and thus methane emissions can be prevented.

If compliance with these principles cannot be fulfilled, actual practice and parameters according to the monitoring plan will be documented.

#### 3.3. Leakage by activity shifts outside the project boundaries

The Global C-Sink Standard prohibits non-sustainable biomass cultivation, land use change and soil organic carbon depletion - thus, leakage in sense of carbon expenditure outside of the project boundaries is avoided as much as possible. However, in specific constellations, e.g. if the amount of biomass pyrolyzed is significant, it can lead to activity shifts or market transformations. The emissions resulting from activity shifts and market transformations in the C-sink activity must be incorporated into the emission portfolio of the producer.

Currently, the feedstock is transported over long distances to extremely polluting facilities like brick kilns & ceramic tile factories, where it is burnt in uncontrolled environments. Using this feedstock in the project activity adds value, while also contributing to reduced environmental pollution and improved social working conditions compared to the feedstock's current use. Considering the positive leakage effects (avoided greenhouse gas emissions from clean production, agronomic effects of biochar and material substitution) and the negative leakage effect (equal or reduced energy conversion efficiency) induced by the project, it is expected that the overall removal activity leads to climate change mitigation. Therefore, leakage in sense of carbon expenditure outside of the project boundaries is negligible and the leakage emissions can be assumed to be 0.



#### 3.4. Distribution channels of biochar

The following applications are possible for this project. The produced biochar must be tracked until its final whereabouts by an endorsed dMRV system.

- Geological C-sink (biochar applied to soil)
- Temporary C-sink (biochar used in materials)
- Temporary storage of biochar

#### 3.5. Planned business development

The project will continue to use the same feedstock for the operational lifetime of the facility. The first 2 years will involve a gradual ramp-up of operations until stable process conditions are reached for operating the facility at full capacity.

The biochar produced at the facility will be used to create geological C-sinks by mixing the biochar with nutrient rich biomass such as organic fertilizers, manure or compost before applying it to soils as a soil amendment. We currently work with non-governmental organizations involved in agriculture, agricultural-input distributors, charitable foundations, farmers producers organizations (FPOs) and farmers for the use and distribution of biochar. We are also in commercial discussions with fertilizer companies for the development of biochar-based fertilizer products.

MASH Makes will be setting up multiple such facilities over the next few years across India and Southeast Asia to scale up the production of biochar using the same feedstock.

#### 4. Determination of C-sink potential

#### 4.1. Monitoring plan

All data which are required to calculate the C-sink potential is entered into Carbonfuture's MRV+ dMRV System. The dMRV system is provided by an external MRV system provider. External MRV systems and tools must be endorsed by Carbon Standards annually. The data will be monitored as mentioned below. Each packaging unit containing more than 1 m3 of biochar must be labeled with a scannable identification code provided by the biochar dMRV System, which shows the following information:

- Biochar producer
- Batch ID
- Biochar analyses
- Date of production
- Year of CO2 removal
- Owner of C-sink material
- Point of departure (GPS) for all kind of transports > 1 km.
- Biochar C-content
- Link to the emission portfolio

Packaging units smaller than 1 m<sup>3</sup> biochar may be grouped into a larger unit (e.g., 20 bags of 50 l packed on a palette) where the larger unit is labeled with the scannable identification code, given that all smaller units have the same destination.



#### 4.1.1. General data

The following general data will be monitored:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Batch Start Date	per batch	Internal documentation
Batch End Date	per batch	Internal documentation
H/Corg ratio	per batch	Laboratory report (by laboratories endorsed by Carbon Standards, see https://www.carbon- standards.com/en/standards/service- 492~production-of- biochar.html?open=10796)
C-content of biochar	per batch	Laboratory report (by laboratories endorsed by Carbon Standards, see https://www.carbon- standards.com/en/standards/service- 492~production-of- biochar.html?open=10796)
M_biochar (DM) (Total biochar production of batch (expected) in t dry matter)	Per batch	Protocols documenting the sampling. Dry weight and total carbon content per big bag is recorded by means of drying a sample of biochar all 10 m <sup>3</sup> , according to methods explained in Global Biochar C-Sink Standard, chapter 9.2.
Biochar Production (DM)	continuous	operation recordings
Plan outlining how to reduce fossil GHG emissions of biochar production to less than 100 g CO <sub>2</sub> eq per ton of biochar until 2030 and to less 20 g CO <sub>2</sub> eq per ton of biochar until 2035	The fossil emission reduction plan must be updated annually and include a short progress report.	Fossil emission reduction plan

The following general conversion rates are fixed ex-ante:

Parameter	Ex-ante definition; value	Source of data
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from diesel	2.7 kg CO₂eq / I diesel	Methodology, Juhrich, 2016
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from heavy fuel	65 t CO₂eq / TJ	Methodology, Juhrich, 2016

#### 4.1.2. Emissions from fossil fuels

#### 4.1.2.1. Feedstock

For the feedstock the following parameters will be monitored:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Type of feedstock (with ID of	continuous	purchase receipts and EBC
EBC positive list)		positive list



Average water content of	per batch	documentation of weekly
feedstock at delivery		measurements
Amount of feedstock (DM)	per batch	production protocols
processed for the last batch		
Total amount of feedstock (dry	per batch	daily production records
matter) used for the batch		
Year of removal, determined as	for each feedstock delivery	production protocol
per the following table		
Amount of fertilizers used as	n/a	n/a
per the following table in kg N		
Area on that pesticides were	n/a	n/a
used as per the following table		
in ha		
Amount of input of fuels for	n/a	n/a
cultivation and harvest		
Amount of diesel used for	continuous	purchase receipts
feedstock preparation		
Amount of electricity used for	continuous	electricity meter
feedstock preparation		
CO <sub>2</sub> eq of electricity used for the		electricity provider
pyrolysis plant in g CO₂eq/kWh		
How do you dry the feedstock?	Continuous	Statement
Amount of fuel equivalent used	continuous	purchase receipts
for drying per ton (DM) of		
feedstock?		
Amount of electric energy used	continuous	purchase receipts
for drying per ton (DM) of		
feedstock		

For determination of year of CO<sub>2</sub> removal and of amount of fertilizers and pesticides the following requirements apply:

	Determination of year of CO <sub>2</sub>	Determination of amount of Fertilizers
	removal	and Pesticides
(1) Biomass from	The time of the CO <sub>2</sub> -removal to be	If biomass was deliberately grown to
annual cropping	submitted to the Global C-Sink	produce biochar, i.e., when it was the
	Registry is the year of harvest.	single or main
		product of this field, carbon
		expenditures for fertilization and
		pesticides need to be accounted for.
(2) Biomass from	If pluriannual or permanent crops	If pluriannual or permanent crops are
pluriannual and	are harvested annually to provide	harvested annually to provide
perennial cropping	feedstock for biochar production,	feedstock for biochar production,
including short	there is no difference compared to	there is no difference compared to the
rotation plantations	the accounting for biomass from	accounting for biomass from annual
	annual crops (i.e., N-fertilizers are	crops (i.e., N-fertilizers are accounted



	accounted annually, the time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is the year of harvest). If the biomass harvest is only every second, fifth, or twentieth year, the time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal must be tracked for every single year of growth and entered accordingly into the Global C-Sink Registry.	annually, the time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is the year of harvest). If the biomass harvest is only every second, fifth, or twentieth year, the carbon expenditures for fertilizers and fuels must be accounted for the entire growing period.
(3) Forest biomass	If the regrowth of last year is harvested and pyrolyzed, the time of removal is set to the year of harvest. If the regrowth of several years is harvested, the time of removal must be distributed proportionally to the growth years and entered accordingly into the Global C-Sink Registry as described in the Global Tree C-Sink Standard.	It is assumed that no fertilization occurs in the forest.
(4) Wood from landscape conservation, agro- forestry, forest gardens, field margins, and urban areas	For pruning and landscaping material, the time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is assumed to be the year of cutting.	If trees or hedges on agricultural land are pruned or trimmed but not felled and thus grow back from their roots, the biomass is considered C-neutral. Biomass from nature conservation, landscape management, including disaster debris removal and roadside greenery, and urban areas, is also considered C-neutral. Trees from forest gardens, orchard meadows, tree lines, and hedges for arable farming are often decades old. They have to be managed so that the amount of wood removed per unit area does not exceed the amount of the average annual regrowth.
(5) Wood processing waste and waste wood materials	The time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is set to the year of pyrolysis.	considered C-neutral
(6) Organic residues from biomass processing	The time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is set to the year of pyrolysis.	considered C-neutral
(7) Municipal waste and municipal waste digestate	The time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is set to the year of pyrolysis.	Organic waste is considered C-neutral, for other waste radiocarbon analysis of a representative sample is required.



(8) Manure and	The time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is set to the	considered C-neutral
agricultural digestate	year of pyrolysis.	
(9) Biosolids and	The time of CO <sub>2</sub> removal is set to the	considered C-neutral
biosolid digestate	year of pyrolysis.	
(10) Other biogenic	The time of removal would generally	considered C-neutral
residues	be the year of pyrolysis, though this	
	is verified during the certification	
	procedure.	

In case of the usage of forest biomass the following criteria also applies:

If the climate neutrality of a forest is not ensured by the official LULUCF reports of the respective country or by regional legislation, proof can also be provided by *Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification* (PEFC) or *Forest Stewardship Council* (FSC) certifications and the Global Tree C-Sink certification (cf. chap. 5.4). Alternatively, the carbon balance of the forest could be verified by ISO16064-accredited assessment of  $CO_2$  fluxes for the last 20 years.

The following general conversion rates are fixed ex-ante:

Parameter	Ex-ante definition; value	Source of data
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from Nitrogen fertilizer	1 t CO <sub>2</sub> eq / 100 kg N	Methodology, Zhang et al., 2013
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from pesticides	94 kg CO₂eq per hectare	Methodology, Audsley et al., 2009

#### 4.1.2.2. Pyrolysis

For pyrolysis the following parameters will be monitored:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Electricity consumption of pyrolyser for the entire batch (in kWh)	per batch	electricity provider
Source of electric energy for the pyrolysis plant	per batch	government data
CO₂eq footprint of electricity used for the pyrolysis plant in g CO₂eq/kWh	per batch	IPCC/Government data
Energy source to preheat the pyrolysis reactor	per batch	daily production records
Amount of fuel which is used to preheat the pyrolysis reactor in t per batch	per batch	daily production records
CO₂eq of fuel used for the pyrolysis plant per t	per batch	IPCC/Government data

If according to the project boundaries defined in 1.4 the pro-rata approach is applied, the following parameters will be monitored additionally:



Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Lower heating values (LHV) of feedstock and products (biochar,_non-biochar solid, liquid, gas)	per batch	The LHV of the biochar and charcoal must be analyzed from the EBC certification sample
Dry masses of feedstock and products (biochar,_non- biochar_solid, liquid, gas)	per batch	production protocols
Produced quantity of electricity per batch	n/a	n/a

#### 4.1.2.3. Post-treatment

For post-treatment of the biochar the following parameters will be monitored:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Amount of diesel used for biochar post-treatment	per batch	purchase receipts
Amount of electricity used for biochar post-treatment	per batch	purchase receipts

#### 4.1.2.4. Compensation of Fossil Emissions

All fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, as well as N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from biomass fertilization, must be offset by long-term carbon sinks before the registration of a biochar C-sink can be validated in the Global C-Sink Registry. CO<sub>2</sub> must only be offset with geological C-sinks, such as the persistent aromatic carbon (PAC) fraction of soil-applied biochar, that are registered in the Global C-Sink Registry.

The emission offsets can be realized with the registered permanent biochar C-sink whose production had caused the emission.

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Proof of compensation	annually	emission portfolio

#### 4.1.3. Methane emissions

4.1.3.1. Storage of biomass

When biomass is stored, methane emissions can be produced, which need to be included in the C-sink potential calculation. This is why the storage period needs to be monitored. Not only the storage on the premises of the pyrolysis plant is considered, but the entire storage period of the biomass, be it at the harvest site or the site of any biomass processor or trader.

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
#months of storage	continuous	operation recordings
A) Is storage duration less than a month?	continuous	Yes
B) Is biomass stored well	Whenever the answer to A) is	N/A
ventilated?	no	



C) Is moisture content below	Whenever the answer to A) and	N/A
20%?	B) is no	
core temperature of the	annually	measurement during on-site
biomass for all sites where		inspection
biomass is stored for more than		
one month		

Impact of the monitored parameters:

If at least one Point A) to C) is answered with yes: methane emissions are negligible.

If all points A) to C) are answered with no or temperatures of more than 5°C above ambient temperature is measured during on-site inspection: methane emissions are included in the C-sink potential calculation.

4.1.3.2. Pyrolysis

During pyrolysis, the pyrolysis gases are usually oxidized in a suitably designed combustion chamber. Usually, the gaseous combustion products pass a filtration step and are then emitted mostly as CO<sub>2</sub>. If the pyrolysis process is well-adjusted and the combustion chamber correctly designed, non-CO<sub>2</sub> GHGs and other pollutants can be kept at very low levels in the exhaust. However, CH<sub>4</sub>, NOx, CO, and particulate matter (PM) are, as in all combustion processes, never completely absent and must be controlled. Concerning the net climate impact, methane emission is particularly important to measure. CO, NOx, SOx, and PM are also harmful to the environment, but according to the IPCC, they do not have a clear greenhouse gas effect (IPCC, 2013) and are therefore not accounted for the emission portfolio, while CH<sub>4</sub> is included.

Measuring methane emissions below 5 ppm is technically complex. Continuous measurement over an entire production year is not possible with currently available technology. Therefore, either at least two CH<sub>4</sub>-emission tests per pyrolysis unit with the same feedstock representing the typical operation of the unit are required, or the pyrolysis unit must have a system certification according to EBC.

The average methane emission of a type of system is then set to be the mean value plus one standard deviation. If an emission measurement for methane or C<sub>x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> is below the measuring accuracy of the instruments, the limit of quantification (LOQ) is used. The assessed methane emissions are thus higher than the calculated average and provide a sufficiently high safety margin to cover any potential emission peaks, e.g., during start-up and shutdown of operation.

Default: Pyrolysis unit used in the project has a system certification, see system certification. n/a

Accordingly, ex-ante definition of the following parameter :

Please enter the value according to your system. For SynCraft and PYREG systems the value is 0.1 kg CH<sub>4</sub>/t DM feedstock

Parameter	Ex-ante definition; value	Source of data
[CH <sub>4</sub> _emissions_pyrolysis]	0.1 kg CH₄/t DM feedstock	system certification

Pyrolysis unit used in the project has no system certification. A detailed measurement strategy with precise details of the measurement technology, measurement intervals, and measurement



for CH<sub>4</sub> emission tests will be provided to Carbon Standards and approved. Methane emissions factor of the pyrolysis unit is calculated as the mean of the two measurements plus one standard deviation as the margin of security.

Stack emissions from the pyrolysis unit is tested annually as part of the EBC audit. The parameters tested are particulate matter, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide and oxides of nitrogen. Additionally, methane emission tests are also conducted annually. The equipment used for monitoring should meet the required specifications and only organizations approved by the governing body of the country can conduct these analyses.

Accordingly, following parameter will be monitored once during first monitoring period:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
[CH <sub>4</sub> _emissions_pyrolysis] in kg	At least 2 measurements during	measurements
CH <sub>4</sub> /t DM feedstock	first monitoring period	

#### 4.1.3.3. Compensation of CH<sub>4</sub> Emissions

Methane compensation is defined as creating a carbon sink for 20 years that has a climate cooling effect equal to the climate warming effect of a methane emission over 100 years after the emission occurred. Thus, the total climate forcing of a methane emission must be compensated within 20 years after the initial emission.

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Proof of compensation	per batch	Emission portfolio

#### 4.1.4. Energy flows

In order to determine the energy efficiency of the pyrolysis unit the following parameters have to be monitored:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
LHV_feedstock	per batch	lab analysis
M_feedstock (DM) (Total	per batch	Is equivalent to "Total amount
amount of feedstock (dry		of feedstock (dry matter) used
matter) used for the batch)		for the batch" monitored in
		4.1.2.1
LHV_biochar	per batch	lab analysis
M_biochar (DM)	per batch	Is equivalent to "M_biochar
		(DM)" in 4.1.1
Supply of $E_{electric}$ (Produced	per batch	Is equivalent to "Produced
quantity of electricity per batch)		quantity of electricity per
		batch" monitored in 4.1.2.2
E_expenditure (energy used for	per batch	Sum of all sources of energy
the production)		used for the production
Supply of E_thermal (Produced	n/a	n/a
quantity of heat per batch)		



If thermal energy from reactor is used for feedstock drying:		
Water content of biomass at	per batch	lab analysis
delivery		
Mass of biomass at delivery	per batch	weighbridge recordings
Water content of biomass after	per batch	lab analysis
drying		
Mass of biomass after drying	n/a	n/a
If relevant:		
LHV_pyrooil		lab analysis
M_pyrooil (Mass of pyrooil)	per batch	operation recordings
E_fuelproducts (energy	per batch	sales records
contained in all fuel products)		
Mass of CO <sub>2</sub> seperated	per batch	metered data

Ex-ante definition of following parameters:

Parameter	Ex-ante definition; value	Source of data
Energy to evaporate water	810 kWh per ton of evaporated	methodology
	water (2.44 kJ per gram of	
	water + 20% margin)	
Energy per captured CO <sub>2</sub>	1000 kWh t <sup>-1</sup> CO <sub>2</sub>	methodology

#### 4.2. Calculation of C-sink potential at factory gate

The C-sink potential at factory gate reflects the remaining C-content of the biochar at factory gate, for which all fossil emissions have been offset against a permanent sink. Preferably the permanent portion of the biochar itself. The emissions are reported to the emissions portfolio of the producer.

#### 4.2.1. Emissions from fossil fuels

Emissions from fossil fuels are calculated based on the following formulas:

[Total GHG emissions in CO2eq per batch]

- = [Total biomass related GHG emissions without CH4 per batch]
- + [Total pyrolysis related GHG emissions without CH4 per batch]
- + [Emissions for post treatment of feedstock per batch]
- + [safety margin for leakage] + [leakage emissions]

[Total GHG emissions in C per ton of biochar (dry matter)]

- = [Total GHG emissions in CO2eq per batch] \* 12/44
- \* [Amount of biochar (dry matter) produced per batch]
- 4.2.1.1. Feedstock

The production of biomass usually causes emissions that need to be accounted for as carbon expenditures of the C-sink. Emissions are calculated in t CO<sub>2</sub>eq.



If mineral nitrogen fertilization was used to produce the biomass, its carbon footprint, including soil borne N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, must be accounted for according to the formula 100 kg N = 1 t CO<sub>2</sub>eq (Zhang et al., 2013). This represents a consideration of the GWP100 for N<sub>2</sub>O and the production emissions for nitrogen fertilizer.

 $[Emissions due to fertilization per batch] = \frac{[Amount of fertilizers used]}{100 kgN}$ 

 If pesticides were used, a flat value of 94 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per hectare (Audsley et al., 2009) is applied for their production-related emissions.

[Emissions due to pesticides per batch] = [Area on that pesticides were used]  $* 0,094 t CO_2 eq$ 

 The input of fuels for cultivation and harvest or preparation of feedstock must also be added to the emission portfolio with a conversion factor of 2.7 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per liter diesel (Juhrich, 2016).

[Emissions for Preparation of feedstock per batch]

- = [diesel used for feedstock preparation] \* 2.7 kgC02eq/l
- + electricity for prepartion \* CO2eq\_elec
- The fuel for trucks for transporting the biomass from the source to the biochar production facility must be calculated with the conversion factor of 2.7 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per liter diesel and the road distance according to google maps. If the truck returns back empty, the distance will be multiplied by 2.

 $[Emissions due to transportation of biomass to pyrolysis site per batch] = \frac{[Amount of feedstock (DM)]}{15t} * [distance] * 0.2 l diesel/km * 2.7 kg CO2eq/l$ 

- Emissions for drying feedstock are calculated, fuel and electricity are considered. The fuel for drying feedstock is calculated with a conversion factor of 2.7 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per liter diesel.

[Emissions for drying of feedstock per batch]

= [fuel used for drying] \* CO2eq\_elec + [diesel used for drying] \* 2.7 kgCO2eq/l

The total biomass related GHG emissions without Methane per batch is calculated according to the following formula:



#### [Total biomass related GHG emissions without CH4 per batch]

- = [Emissions due to fertilization per batch]
- + [Emissions due to pesticides per batch]
- + [Emissions due to transportation of biomass to pyrolysis site per batch]
- + [Emissions for Preparation of feedstock per batch]
- + [Emissions for drying of feedstock per batch]

#### 4.2.1.2. Pyrolysis

Emissions which are produced during the pyrolysis process contain electricity consumption and fuel for preheating the pyrolysis reactor. The emissions are calculated in **tCO**<sub>2</sub>eq.

[Emissions due to electricity consumption] =  $[Electricity consumption (kWh)] * [CO2eq of electricity (g CO_2e/kWh] * 1000000$ 

Note: If renewable energy is used, a  $CO_2$ eq footprint of zero is assumed. If the pyrolysis plant itself generates at least as much electricity on an annual average as is consumed in the production facility, a  $CO_2$ eq of zero is assumed for electricity consumption.

[Emissions due to fuel for preheating] = [Fuel consumption] \* [CO2eq of fuel]

The total production emissions are calculated with the formula:

[Production emissions]

- = [Emissions due to electricity consumption]
- + [Emissions due to fuel for preheating]

According to the project boundaries defined in 1.4 the pro-rata approach is applied:

#### ⊠ No

[Total pyrolysis related GHG emissions without CH4 per batch] = [Production emissions]

□ Yes

(1)  $E_{input} = LHV_{feedstock} * m_{feedstock}(DM)$ (2)  $E_{nonBCoutput} = LHV_{nonBCsolid} * m_{nonBCsolid}(DM)$ 

(2)  $E_{nonBCoutput} = LHV_{nonBCsolid} * m_{nonBCsolid}(DM) + LHV_{liquid} * m_{liquid} + LHV_{gas} * m_{gas} + E_{electric} + E_{thermic}$ (3)  $E_{biochar} = LHV_{biochar} * m_{biochar}(DM)$ 

To calculate the GHG attribution of the biochar product, the total emissions assessed for the entire process from biomass production to biochar output are multiplied by the ratio of  $E_{biochar}$  to the total  $E_{output}$  (= $E_{nonBCoutput}$  +  $E_{biochar}$ ).



(4) [Total pyrolysis related GHG emissions without CH4 per batch] = [production emission]  $* E_{biochar}/(E_{nonBCoutput} + E_{biochar})$ 

#### 4.2.1.3. Post-treatment

If the biochar will be post-treated, the emissions are calculated according to the following formula:

[Emissions for post treatment of feedstock per batch]

= [diesel used for biochar post treatment] \* 2.7  $\frac{kgCO2eq}{l}$ 

+ [electricity for biochar post treatment] \* CO2eq\_elec

#### 4.2.1.4. Safety margin

For the determination of the emission portfolios relevant for the C-sink generation according to Global Biochar C-Sink standard, the emissions from Scope 1 and 2 of each involved and registered organization (producers and processors) are recorded.

For Scope 3 emissions of involved organizations, only the emissions from biomass production transport of biomass or biochar and derived products are directly quantified. Other indirect emissions from Scope 3 are not recorded individually due to their comparatively low volume but are instead included in the calculation with a flat margin of safety to account for the whole value chain

This includes, for example, the emissions caused by:

- Production and disposal of polypropylene bags,
- Electricity for the operation and cooling of the company's external computer servers,
- Potential methane emissions during the first month of storage of the biomass,
- Fuel consumption by employees for commuting to work and for business trips,
- Marketing and management activities including trade shows and conference attendance,
- Operation of chainsaws or harvesters for felling and peeling trees and for digging up roots,

- Emissions from machine fuels during cultivation of agricultural land and plant protection measures,

- Production, maintenance, repair, and disposal of pyrolysis equipment, transport vehicles, warehouses, and other machinery.

- The margin further contains unavoidable imprecisions of the C-sink accounting such as sampling, packaging, volume and dry mater analysis, etc.

- Unlikely loss of c-sink material e.g. by burning small portions of diffuse C-sinks in waste incineration plants

The margin of safety generally amounts to 20 kg  $CO_2$ eq per ton of biochar which corresponds to roughly 0.7 % of the biochar carbon. The margin of safety is applied per ton of biochar at factory gate of the producer and thus not affected by pro-rata accounting.

$$[safety margin] = 0.020 \frac{tCO2}{t} * [m_biochar(DM)]$$

#### 4.2.1.5. Leakage emissions

The leakage emissions are calculated based on the results of the assessment in chapter 3.3.



[Leakage emissions] = 0 tCO2e \* [amount of biomass dry matter (batch)]

#### 4.2.2. Methane emissions

During biomass storage and pyrolysis process methane emissions are produced. They are calculated according to the following formula:

[Total methane emissions]

= [Feedstock storage emissions per batch]

+ [CH4 emissions from pyrolysis of entire batch]

4.2.2.1. Emissions from the storage of the biomass

If methane emissions are negligible according to section 4.1.3.1.: 0 tCH $_4$ 

If methane emissions are included in the C-sink potential calculation: Emissions are calculated in tCH4:

[Feedstock storage emissions per batch] = ( [#months of storage] - 1) \* [amount of biomass dry matter (batch)] \* [Ccontent of biomass] \* [methane emissions per month] \* 16/12

Default values given in the methodology are used:

[methane emissions per month]	0,13% of C-content for woody biomass
	0,25% of C-content for non-woody biomass
[Ccontent of biomass]	48% for woody biomass
	50% for non-woody biomass

4.2.2.2. CH4 Emissions from Pyrolysis reactor

Emissions are calculated in tCH4.

[CH4 emissions from pyrolysis of entire batch]

 $=\frac{\left[CH4_{emissions_{pyrolysis}}\right]}{1000}* [amount of biomass dry matter (batch)]$ 

#### 4.2.2.3. Compensation of CH<sub>4</sub> Emissions

The Absolute Global Warming Potential of the methane must be compensated by a same-sized absolute global cooling potential (AGCP) over a maximum of 20 years. The compensating global cooling starts in the same year as the CH<sub>4</sub> emission occurred, provide annual global cooling in every following year, and finalize the compensation latest 20 years after the methane emission.

In order to claim that methane emissions where compensated it must be proven that

 $AGCP(20) \ge AGWP\_CH4(100).$ 

#### Absolut Global Warming Potential of methane emissions

The Absolute Global Warming Potential of the methane emissions are calculated based on:



$$AGWP\_CH4(100) = \sum_{y=0}^{100} (IRF(CO2, a(y)) * [CO_2e \text{ of } CH_4 \text{ emissions per load}])$$

To calculate the *Absolute Global Warming Potential (AGWP)* over 100 years we are using Jeltsch-Thömmes & Joos  $(2019)^1$  to account for the decay of the CO<sub>2</sub>. Greenhouse gases decay in the atmosphere. The quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> still present in the atmosphere each year are added up over the 100 years, resulting in the absolute global warming potential (AGWP) over 100 years.

The decay is described by the equation:

$$\left[IRF(CO_{2,a}(t))\right] = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i * \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau_i}\right) for \ t \ge 0$$

With the values

i	ai	ti
0	0.008	
1	0.044	68521
2	0.112	5312
3	0.224	362
4	0.31	47
5	0.297	6

The resulting methane emissions of the produced biochar are calculated as below, with the GWP100  $(CH_4)$  value of 25 CO<sub>2</sub>e.

 $[CO_2e \text{ of } CH_4 \text{ emissions } per \text{ load}] = [Total \text{ methane emissions}] * [GWP100_{CH4}]$ 

#### Absolut Global Cooling Potential of soil applied SPC fraction

The Absolut Global Cooling Potential (AGCP) of the SPC fraction of soil applied biochar for the first 20 years is calculated as follow:

$$[AGCP(20)] = \sum_{t=0}^{20} (C_{remain}(t, SPC) * IRF(CO_{2,a}(t) * M_{biochar} * C_{content}))$$

With:

C<sub>remain</sub> (t, SPC) as the adjusted equation 2 of Global Artisan C-Sink Standard for the SPC fraction of the biochar (25%)

$$[C_{remain}(t, SPC)] = \left(\frac{M_{BC} * C_{content}}{1000} - 0.75\right) * (750 + 45 * e^{-0.5232 * t} + 205 * e^{-0.009966 * t})$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jeltsch-Thömmes, A., Joos, F., 2019. The response to pulse-like perturbations in atmospheric carbon and carbon isotopes 1–36.



#### 4.2.3. Value of C-sink potential

The C-sink potential at factory gate reflects the remaining C-content of the biochar at factory gate, for which all fossil emissions have been offset against a permanent sink. Preferably the permanent portion (PAC) of the biochar itself. For biochars with H/Corg ratio  $\geq$  0,4 no maximum value for the SPC fraction can be given. Therefore, the respective biochar cannot be used for creation of a permanent C-sink and is treated as if it consists out of 100% SPC and can only serve as a temporary C-sink. This in turn leads to the fact that GHG emissions cannot be set off against the potential permanent C-sink value of the biochar.

[CSink Potential] = [CContent]

[CSink Potential per batch] = [CSink Potential] \* m\_biochar(DM)

Note: It is mandatory to label biochar with its H/Corg ratio.

#### 4.2.4. Energy efficiency

The energy use efficiency provides the rate of how much of the energy contained in the biomass feedstock was transformed into usable energy and other beneficial products with a market value. If the non-biochar fraction of the pyrolysis products is used for energy production or as raw material for chemical or other industries, the biomass-carbon is considered as having been used meaningfully.

For every batch of a certified pyrolysis unit, at least 60% of the sum of the energy contained in the biomass and all energy expenditures of the process must be used.

The total amount of used electrical and thermic energy, and the heating value of the marketed pyrolysis products is divided by the sum of the energy content of the biomass feedstock and the external energy used to produce the entire batch. The value is given as a percentage.

$$E_{eff} = \frac{E_{solid} + E_{pyrooil} + E_{fuelproducts} + E_{thermal} + E_{drying} + E_{electric} + E_{co2pur}}{E_{feedstock} + E_{expenditures}}$$

In most cases of today's pyrolysis facilities, some summands are zero, the formula then simplifies to:

 $E_{eff} = \frac{E_{solid} + E_{thermal} + E_{drying} + E_{electric}}{E_{feedstock} + E_{expenditures}}$ 

With:

Energy contained in the feedstock:  $E_{feedstock} = LHV_{feedstock} * M_{feedstock} (DM)$ Energy expenditures for the entire pyrolysis facility:

 $E_{expenditures}$ Energy content of the biochar:  $E_{solid} = LHV_{biochar} * M_{biochar} (DM)$ 



Energy used for feedstock drying:

$$\begin{split} E_{drying} &= 810 \frac{\text{kWh}}{\text{t}} * M_{water} \\ M_{water} &= \begin{bmatrix} Water \text{ content of biomass at delivery} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} Mass \text{ of biomass at delivery} \end{bmatrix} \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} Water \text{ content of biomass after drying} \end{bmatrix} \\ &* \begin{bmatrix} Mass \text{ of biomass after drying} \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{split}$$
Produced thermal energy:

 $E_{thermal}$ Produced electric energy:  $E_{electric}$ 

And, if applicable: Energy content of the pyrolysis oil  $E_{pyrooil} = LHV_{pyrooil} * M_{pyrooil}$ Energy content of separated CO2 from the flue gas  $E_{CO2pur} = 1000 \frac{\text{kWh}}{t_{CO2}} * M_{CO2}$ 

Energy content of the fuels produced by the pyrolysis process  $E_{fuelproducts}$ 

#### 4.2.5. Carbon efficiency

Carbon efficiency refers to the ratio of carbon transformed into a storable form (i.e., amount of carbon in a batch of biochar) to the input of carbon (i.e., amount of carbon in the biomass used to produce the biochar).

The carbon efficiency is assessed at the factory gate and does not assess the use of the carbon products or the durability of storage. As long as the carbon is stored for a minimum of one year, this can be included in the carbon efficiency calculation.

Benchmarking current carbon efficiency of a biochar production facility is calculated according to the following formula:

$$[Carbon efficiency] = \frac{\sum [[amount of product dry matter (batch)]*[Ccontent of product])}{[Total amount of feedstock (dry matter) used for the batch]*[Ccontent of biomass]}$$

With product being any outcome of the process that's intended to be stored for a minimum of one year, e.g. biochar, bio-oil, CO2.

The producer publishes the Carbon efficiency of the production facility annually.

Default values given in the methodology are used:

[Ccontent of biomass]	48% for woody biomass
	50% for non-woody biomass

# 5. Determination of C-sink

Once the C-sink potential of the biochar has been determined and the label has been applied to the packaging units in accordance with the requirements in chapter 4.1, the further fate of the biochar is



only indirectly influenced by the producer. In the further chain up to the final C-sink, there are processors and users. It is incumbent on all of them to play their part in quality assurance and monitoring as well as reporting on their emissions. The final C-sink is registered by the first C-sink owner.

### 5.1. Biochar processing

If the biochar is delivered to a processing company who makes new biochar-based products from the biochar, the receiving company must be EBC certified as a processing company and/or trader. This allows the verification of the climate relevant processes as part of annual on-site inspection. All processing steps must be recorded with their CO<sub>2</sub>eq footprint. The emissions are reported in the processor's emission portfolio and all fossil GHG emissions from processing have to be offset against long-term carbon sinks.

Once the products are repackaged, they must be registered as new product and C-sink unit providing the following information:

- Product processor
- Biochar production batch ID and/or QR code to access EBC biochar analysis.
- Date of biochar production
- Year of CO2 removal
- Owner of C-sink material
- Point of new departure (GPS)
- Biochar C-content of product
- C-sink matrix, if mixed to one
- Emission that occurred during processing
- Link to the emission portfolio of the C-sink unit and/or company

#### 5.1.1. Monitoring of processing parameters

Processors are obliged to monitor the following data. They are obliged to define appropriate monitoring frequencies and data sources in annexes to this PDD.

Parameter		
Amount of diesel used for		
transportation		
Amount of diesel used for		
biochar processing		
Amount of electricity used for		
biochar processing		
Input biochar and output		
biochar based-product		
documentation		

Any other GHG emitting process

#### 5.1.2. Calculation of processing emissions

The calculation of the processing emissions is done with the following formula:



[Emissions for processing]

- = ([diesel used for biochar processing] + [diesel used for transportation]) \_\_kgC02eq
  - \* 2.7  $\frac{kgCO2eq}{l}$  + [electricity for biochar processing] \*  $CO2eq_{elec}$
  - + [additional emissions]

# 5.2. Registration of C-sink

Biochar carbon sinks must be registered with the geo-localized area where the biochar or its derived products have been applied. This encompasses scenarios where biochar serves as a soil amendment or finds application in various contexts, such as construction for residential, infrastructural, or road-related purposes.

In certain specific instances where marginal quantities of biochar are applied or utilized in products, the registration of so-called diffuse carbon sinks (i.e., non-geo-localized) is permitted.

The following information are registered for biochar carbon sink:

- 1. C-sink owner (e.g. owner of the land where the C-sink is established, owner of the material that contains the biochar, producer of biochar containing products).
- 2. KLM-file of land or area where the C-sink was established.
- 3. Date of C-sink establishment.
- 4. Year of CO<sub>2</sub>-removal (date of carbon uptake of biomass that was pyrolyzed).
- 5. EBC batch number.
- 6. Biochar analysis
- 7. Type of C-sink (geo-localized or diffuse).
- 8. C-sink matrix.
- 9. Amount of biochar in dry tons.
- 10. Amount of carbon in  $CO_2eq$ .
- 11. Persistence curve of C-sink (depending on C-sink matrix).
- 12. Controlling period (depending on C-sink matrix).
- 13. C-sink project design document
- 14. Validation report of the validation body
- 15. Verification report of the verification body
- 16. Monitoring plan of the operation
- 17. Confirmation of the compensation of the emission portfolio of the biochar

#### 5.2.1. Monitoring of transport parameters until final location

First C-sink owners are obliged to monitor the following data. They are obliged to define appropriate monitoring frequencies and data sources in annexes to this PDD.

Parameter
Amount of diesel used for
transportation from last
processor to application site
Amount of diesel used for
application
Any other GHG emitting process



Emission reports from Producer and Processors

For the part of the production that is brought into the producer's sphere of influence, we record:		
Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
Amount of diesel used for	continuous	Distance and amount of trucks;
transportation from last		in case of diffuse C-sink:
processor to application site		statistically determined mean
		distance
Amount of diesel used for application	continuous	operation recordings
Any other GHG emitting	continuous	operation recordings
process		
Emission reports from	per C-sink	Producer emission reports
Producer and Processors		

#### 5.2.2. Calculation of C -sink

The C-sink is registered in the Global C-sink Registry.

Under the condition that the GHG emissions from processing and application are offset against permanent carbon sinks, the C-sink potential can be calculated as:

[C - sink(year = 0)] = [CSink Potential] \* [dry mass of biochar applied]

Note: It is mandatory to label biochar with its  $H/C_{org}$  ratio.

However, every biochar C-sink underlies a time-dependent evolution, and the C-sink is a measure of the mass of carbon that is physically present in the C-sink matrix at any given moment in time since the establishment of the C-sink. The size of a biochar C-sink is, thus, a function of the type of biochar determining its specific persistence in a specific C-sink matrix and the time since the application to the C-sink matrix.

C - sink(year) = C - sink(year = 0) \* specific persistence (year)

#### 5.2.3. Geological C-sink

Biochar which is applied to soil can be registered as geological C-sink. EBC certified biochar with an  $H/C_{org}$  ratio < 0.4 that was applied to soil is therefore registered with a PAC fraction of 75% and SPC fraction of 25% in the Global C-Sink Registry. Soil-applied biochars with an  $H/C_{org}$  ratio  $\ge$  0.4 that was applied to soil, are registered with an SPC fraction of 100%, and no PAC fraction can be registered.

The remaining carbon for soil-applied biochar with an H/Corg ratio < 0.4 is calculated with the following conservative approximation:

[remaining C (year)]=[ dry mass of biochar applied ]/1000 \* Ccontent \* ( $750 + 45 * e^{-0.5232 * year} + 205 * e^{-0.009966 * year}$ )



Biochars with an  $H/C_{org}$  ratio  $\geq$  0.4 that was applied to soil, are registered with an SPC fraction of 100%, and no PAC fraction can be registered.

When C-sinks are sold to offset CO<sub>2</sub> emissions only the PAC fraction must be used. The SPC-fraction of biochar can be used for methane emission offsets (see section 4.1.3.3).

#### 5.2.4. Temporary C-sink

Biochar which is used in materials can be registered as temporary C-sink. They require a specific monitoring plan.

#### 5.2.4.1. Monitoring plan for materials

For consumer products:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
lifetime	one-time	Average lifetime from statistics for
		specific products can determine an
		average lifetime

#### For stationary infrastructure:

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
lifetime	frequency to be proposed	Proof of existence of the permanent
	by first C-sink Owner and	infrastructure, e.g. by satellite imagery
	accepted by CS	

#### 5.2.4.2. Calculation of temporary C-Sink for materials

#### C - sink(year) = C - sink(year = 0) if year < [lifetime]; = 0 if year > [lifetime]

Temporary material C-sinks are registered with their statistically validated lifetime or their controlling period. If the control at the end of the defined controlling period confirms the continued presence of the C-sink, the registry entry of the temporary C-sink is prolonged until the end of the next controlling period. The duration of the new controlling period is updated at the end of each controlling period.

#### 5.2.5. Temporary Storage of Biochar

Biochar can be stored to preserve it for later years when, e.g., demand and prices increase. For as long as the biochar is stored under controlled conditions and with regular verification, such as in containers, below ground protected from water and biologically active matrices, and in ancient salt or coal mines, it can be considered a temporary C-sink during the controlled storage time.

Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Source of data
C loss	continuous	remote control of temperature and/or
		CO <sub>2</sub> concentration
amount of carbon in	annually	calculated
temporary storage		

#### 5.2.5.1. Monitoring plan for temporary storage:



5.2.5.2. Calculation of temporary C-sink for temporary storage  $C - sink(year) = C - sink(year = 0) - \sum C loss(year)$ 

## 6. Public consultation

During public consultation the following comments were raised:

(This section must be filled earliest after the first feedback round with the VVB. The public consultation starts with handing in the PDD for validation. Carbon Standards International will upload it to its website for 30 days and informs the project proponent about the comments raised during this consultation. If there are comments raised the project proponent has to document in the table below if a comment was taken into account with a justification and an indication which sections of this document where affected.)

Comment	Was comment taken into account (Yes/ No)? Where?	Explanation/ justification (Why? How?)
хх	хх	хх
хх	хх	хх

### 7. Annexes

- 1. Social Responsibility
- 2. Monitoring of processing parameters
- 3. Monitoring of transport parameters until final location